



TIJSBM

Techno India Journal of Strategic Business Mind

International Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal

ARTICLE TITLE

Digitalization and Corporate Law in Emerging Economies: Aligning Global Innovations with Local Governance

ARTICLE TYPE

<i>Research Article</i>	Review Article	<i>Case Study</i>	<i>Conceptual Paper</i>	<i>Policy Paper</i>	<i>Short Communication</i>
-------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------	-------------------------	---------------------	----------------------------

THEMATIC CATEGORY

Category A: Strategy & Leadership | Category B: Technology & Digital Business | Category C: Finance & Economics

Category D: Marketing & Consumer Studies | Category E: Human Capital & Organizations | Category F: Sustainability & ESG

Category G: Entrepreneurship & Emerging Markets | Category H: Operations, Analytics & Supply Chain.

AUTHOR(S) DETAILS

#	Full Name	Affiliation & Country	Email Address	ORCID iD	Role
1	<i>Sreya chakraborty*</i>	Department of Legal Science, Techno India University West Bengal, Kolkata, India	sreya.c@technoindiaeducation.com	0000-0000-0000-0000	Assistant Professor

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS (CRediT Taxonomy)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conceptualisation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Curation	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Analysis
<input type="checkbox"/> Funding Acquisition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investigation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Methodology
<input type="checkbox"/> Project Administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Software
<input type="checkbox"/> Supervision	<input type="checkbox"/> Validation	<input type="checkbox"/> Visualisation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Writing – Original Draft		<input type="checkbox"/> Writing – Review & Editing

FUNDING DECLARATION

'This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.'

COMPETING INTERESTS DECLARATION

The authors declare no competing interests.



Digitalization and Corporate Law in Emerging Economies: Aligning Global Innovations with Local Governance

ABSTRACT

The rapid expansion of digital technologies has significantly transformed the functioning of corporate governance and regulatory compliance systems across the world. Over the past two decades, digital platforms have increasingly been integrated into corporate law frameworks to enhance transparency, improve regulatory oversight, and simplify administrative procedures. While developed economies have largely benefited from such transformations through well-established infrastructure and institutional capacity, emerging economies face more complex challenges in implementing digital corporate governance systems. This study examines the evolving relationship between digitalization and corporate law in emerging economies, with a particular focus on India as a case study.

India has demonstrated considerable commitment toward integrating digital tools into its corporate regulatory framework. Legislative and policy initiatives such as the Companies Act, 2013—particularly provisions allowing electronic maintenance of records and virtual shareholder meetings—along with digital governance platforms like the MCA21 portal have significantly modernized corporate filing and compliance mechanisms. Regulatory bodies including the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (IBBI), and the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) have also adopted various digital processes, including e-voting systems, electronic filings, and virtual hearings. Furthermore, the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 introduces additional responsibilities for corporate entities regarding digital data governance.

Despite these advancements, the effectiveness of digitalization in corporate law remains uneven due to structural challenges prevalent in developing contexts. Limited internet access in rural and semi-urban regions, disparities in digital literacy, fragmented regulatory frameworks, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and incomplete judicial digitalization continue to impede the seamless adoption of digital governance systems. These barriers highlight the limitations of replicating governance models from developed economies without considering the socio-economic and institutional realities of emerging jurisdictions.

Through a doctrinal and comparative methodology, this research examines legislative frameworks, regulatory practices, and policy developments in India, while also drawing comparative insights from digital corporate governance initiatives in Brazil and South Africa. These examples illustrate how regional disparities in infrastructure and technological capacity can influence the success of digital governance reforms.

The study argues that digital transformation in corporate law must be context-sensitive and supported by broader institutional reforms. Policy recommendations include establishing a unified digital corporate governance framework, expanding technological infrastructure, promoting digital literacy among businesses, strengthening cybersecurity compliance, and accelerating judicial digitalization. Ultimately, the paper concludes that effective digital corporate governance in emerging economies requires inclusive, locally adapted strategies that balance global innovation with domestic institutional realities.

KEYWORDS

Digitalization • Corporate Law • Corporate Governance • Emerging Economies • Digital Governance • MCA21 • Regulatory Compliance • Legal Reform • India • Comparative Law

1. Introduction

In recent years - particularly the last two decades - we have witness the penetration of the digital technology manifesting through innovation has mediated the function and oversight regime of corporate law. Whereas in much of the world electronic systems exist to assist governments with compliance obligations, improved transparency to meet corporate governance standards; the legal and regulatory obligations themselves have moved through a series of eras, often in a staged manner. For the developed economies, it has mostly been reasonable or pragmatic; but for emerging economies like India, this has become an entangled dilemma.

In reviewing corporate governance regimes, India's progress with digitalisation has displayed appetite but at the same time it is burdened with confronting structural problems of change. The appetite for reform is visible through new language on company registration that acknowledges e-documents (and even e-governance) portals, nonetheless the progress is clearly uneven across the whole organisation landscape. Some of the examples of progress might be privately, or not, constrained by one or combination of the factors; diversification of socio- economic conflict, to a basic illiteracy around the core aspects of the internet, associated infrastructure; tensions in the social compact of the relationship between the state v- people; confronting the complexity of the 14.4 million rural villages that are last largely remain unmonitored and potentially unwicked, and other types of idiosyncrasies. In any case these issues are real and they play a role in the overall lack of aggregation and integration of digitalisation in regions.

Digitalisation is very attractive. Rather than long processes with increasing costs of operation, technology enabled systems should provide improved access to records and filings. Yet, in many cases the attractiveness becomes the undoing. As mentioned, internet access barriers often engendered by citizen's lack of adequate access/contented use often creates circumstances, equipotentially, that further entrench resistance to access online systems. Therefore, online systems should be regarded more as contextualised reforms, which do not reflect the intention, within a broader reform agenda as they are impacting multiple features and layers.

This contradiction has played out such that the case of India encapsulates. While it has provided access through the MCA21 initiative and simplified access to corporate information and filings, “access is granted” is still only a partial success given its ongoing payoffs lie buried with the reports of its ongoing technical problems, delayed implementations and UI discrepancies all demonstrating a bigger issue with the infrastructure. The uneven impacts pointed out that developed infrastructure based economies ought to stop using their template, for designing user centred systems, as a base.

This paper considered the case of India as a concrete context for thinking about digitalisation and corporate law reforms in emerging economies. It attempts to determine whether digital governance can be successful without first delivering equitable access to infrastructure, education and other institutions. Ultimately, this paper advocates for a less punitive and more inclusive model based on local context rather than altered algorithm based context with a consideration for distribution.

2. Objectives and Methodology

2.1 Objectives

This paper will:

- Explore the impact of digitalization on corporate law in developing countries.
- Investigate the fit between global digital governance frameworks and the legal and government system in India.
- Solve issues within the structure of a bounded system, recommending practical reforms to local problems based on lived experience.

2.2 Methodology

This research originates from the tradition of legal doctrine which uses primary legislative sources – such as the Companies Act, SEBI regulations and data protection legislation – and examines them through a comparative approach with respect to various other emergent economies such as Brazil and South Africa – in order to inspire more relevant discussions about cross-border legal counterparts who experience similar regulatory challenges. The study observes the various snapshots of the government, policy documents and journal publications above simply gathering statutory sources.

The method utilizes modern information, communications technologies in digitally transforming corporate law to improve the legal process and simplify corporate governance.

3. Digitalization and Legal Reform: Conceptual Context

The digitally transformed legal process includes: the online incorporation of businesses, the digital upkeep of statutory records, the virtual conduct of board and shareholder meetings, online systems of dispute resolution and digital compliance dashboards to assist in managerial compliance decisions. The reforms should contribute to an increase in general transparency in business regulation and should also provide access to corporate processes, reduce administrative costs and lower procedural delays. Nevertheless, the actual impact of such electronic solutions will depend on the type of integration of such processes into the local social, economic and institutional setting. Various features that characterise developing countries - such as unequal access to technology, differences in levels of digital literacy, the diversity of languages and geography and the unequal capability of institutions - could pose problems for the integration of digital reforms. A one size fits all reform approach is particularly unproductive when based on models that are developed from practices pursued in advanced economies, as this approach typically neglects these contextual differences. Specifically, if we are to add real value to corporate governance and reform itself, the digital reforms must fit exactly to satisfy all the different facets, challenges and demands of the local economy.

4. India's Progress in Corporate Legal Digitalization

4.1 Legislative Advances

With technology increasingly involved in corporate governance, the Companies Act 2013 took a major leap in this direction by allowing companies to keep their records in electronic form under Section 120—a major leap from the old-school paper files and folders. This was followed by another leap with Section 121 that supported the digital revolution even more by allowing companies to hold online meetings—a major relief in terms of compliance without the hassles involved with physical meetings.

However, the major leap in the direction of digital corporate governance in India was taken by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs with the launch of the MCA21 portal—a platform that totally revolutionized corporate filing in India. With the MCA21 portal, everything can now be done online—from company registration to document filing and compliance with regulatory requirements. It is not only easier but also reduces red tape and promotes transparency.

However, another major agency involved in corporate governance in India—SEBI (Securities and Exchange Board of India)—has also been at the forefront in promoting digital corporate governance in the country. It has made e-voting compulsory and has also promoted online disclosure and other compliance documents in digital format.

But another major leap in the direction of digital corporate governance was taken with the Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023 that places a major burden on companies in terms of data compliance.

All these measures clearly indicate that the Indian government is serious about promoting digital corporate governance in the country. But how successful these measures are in practice is another matter altogether.

4.2 Institutional Implementation

A number of regulatory bodies in India are now using technology to ensure smoother operations. For example, the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (IBBI) now uses technology to monitor

insolvency proceedings. Similarly, the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) now allows electronic filing and virtual hearings in some areas of law.¹

4.3 Advantages of Digitalization in Corporate Regulation

Digitalization in corporate law provides a number of advantages, including:

- **Faster Processing:** Digitalization in corporate law ensures faster processing of documents.
- **Cost-Effectiveness:** Digitalization in corporate law is also cost-effective because small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) do not have to incur consultancy costs.
- **Transparency:** Digitalization in corporate law ensures greater transparency in corporate affairs.
- **Efficient Oversight:** Digitalization in corporate law also ensures more efficient oversight.²

5. Barriers to Implementation in Developing Contexts

5.1 Infrastructure and Access Challenges

Even though internet penetration in India is increasing, a number of rural and semi-urban areas lack reliable internet connectivity, thereby making it difficult for small business owners to fully take advantage of digitalization in corporate law.

5.2 Overlapping Regulatory Frameworks

Digitalization in corporate law is regulated by a number of laws, thereby causing confusion and inconsistencies in regulatory requirements.

5.3 Cybersecurity Gaps

Digitalization in corporate law also makes it difficult to ensure cybersecurity because digital data is vulnerable to unauthorized access and data breaches. Furthermore, the current state of cyber laws in India is not well developed, thereby making it difficult to ensure compliance with cybersecurity laws.³

5.4 Incomplete Judicial Digitization

In the area of digital filings and hearings, the NCLTs' progress varies from one bench to another. The lack of a national platform for the NCLTs to operate uniformly and the continued reliance on the manual system create inefficiencies.

5.5 Comparative Experiences: Brazil and South Africa

In the case of Brazil's SPED system, the government's attempt to create a single system for corporate and tax information helps to create a comprehensive system for compliance and regulatory control. However, the disparity between the levels of digitalization between the states creates an impediment.⁴ Similarly, the Companies and Intellectual Property Commission (CIPC) of South Africa's attempt to create a digital system for incorporation and compliance with regulatory requirements also faces the challenge of disparity between the levels of technical expertise between the provinces.

¹The Companies Act, No. 18 of 2013, Acts of Parliament, 2013 (India).

²Securities and Exchange Board of India, SEBI Regulations and Guidelines, <https://www.sebi.gov.in/legal/regulations.html> (last visited June 29, 2025).

³NITI Aayog, Digital India Readiness Report 2022, <https://www.niti.gov.in> (last visited June 29, 2025).

⁴Int'l Fin. Corp., RegTech in Emerging Markets: Landscape and Opportunities (2021), <https://www.ifc.org> (last visited June 29, 2025).

What lessons can be learned by the Indian government from the Brazilian and South African examples?

- Establishing regional centers for digital access.
- Increasing the support for users.
- Developing digital literacy for the underserved segments.

6. Policy Recommendations

1. **Establish a Unified Legal Framework.**
 - Develop a consolidated Digital Corporate Governance Code to avoid any overlap.
2. **Expand Infrastructure Investments.**
 - Investing in broadband infrastructure.
 - Investing in equipment.
3. **Encourage MSME Participation.**
 - Granting tax holidays for small businesses.
4. **Strengthening Cyber Security Compliance.**
 - Developing a risk audit.
 - Developing industry-wide security protocols.
5. **Accelerate Judicial Reforms.**
 - Establishing a centralized e-litigation platform.

7. Conclusion

In conclusion, the digitization of the corporate legal system holds a world of promise for the future. However, for a country like India to take advantage of the opportunities presented by the international community, a unique approach to the implementation of the system must be adopted to accommodate the infrastructural and legal complexities of the country.

. References

1. Armour, J., Hansmann, H., & Kraakman, R. (2017). The essential elements of corporate law: What is corporate law? In R. Kraakman et al. (Eds.), *The anatomy of corporate law: A comparative and functional approach* (3rd ed., pp. 1–28). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198739630.003.0001>
2. Bajaj, K., & Srivastava, M. (2022). Digital transformation in corporate governance: Emerging regulatory challenges in India. *Journal of Corporate Law Studies*, 22(2), 355–374. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14735970.2021.1933152>
3. Brazilian Federal Revenue Service. (2023). *Public digital bookkeeping system (SPED): Overview and regulatory framework*. <https://www.gov.br/receitafederal>
4. Companies Act, 2013, No. 18, Acts of Parliament, 2013 (India). <https://www.mca.gov.in>
5. Companies and Intellectual Property Commission. (2023). *Annual report 2022–2023*. Government of South Africa. <https://www.cipc.co.za>

6. Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, No. 22, Acts of Parliament, 2023 (India). <https://www.meity.gov.in>
7. Fenwick, M., McCahery, J. A., & Vermeulen, E. P. M. (2019). The end of ‘corporate’ governance: Hello ‘platform’ governance. *European Business Organization Law Review*, 20(1), 171–199. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40804-019-00137-z>
8. Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India. (2023). *IBBI annual report 2022–2023*. Government of India. <https://www.ibbi.gov.in>
9. Kaal, W. A. (2020). Digital transformation of corporate governance: Blockchain and artificial intelligence. *Stanford Journal of Blockchain Law & Policy*, 3(2), 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3447811>
10. Ministry of Corporate Affairs. (2021). *MCA21 portal and e-governance in corporate regulation*. Government of India. <https://www.mca.gov.in>
11. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). *OECD corporate governance factbook 2023*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/ed750b30-en>
12. Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2022). *SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015—Updated edition*. <https://www.sebi.gov.in>
13. Susskind, R. (2019). *Online courts and the future of justice*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198838364.001.0001>
14. World Bank. (2021). *GovTech maturity index: The state of public sector digital transformation*. World Bank Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1763-6>